

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/042,605	NICPONSKI, HENRY	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DANIEL G. MARIAM	2625	

All Participants:
Status of Application: allowed

 (1) DANIEL G. MARIAM.

(3) _____.

 (2) ROBERT LUKE WALKER.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 November 2005
Time: 09:30 AM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
26 and 32

Prior art documents discussed:
none

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed issues pertinent to independent claims 26 and 32. Applicant's Attorney (Robert Walker) agreed to amend the claims so as to more narrowly define the claims.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


DANIEL MIRIAM
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)